

AFFINE INTERVAL EXCHANGE TRANSFORMATIONS WITH FLIPS AND WANDERING INTERVALS

C. GUTIERREZ, S. LLOYD, AND B. PIRES

ABSTRACT. There exist uniquely ergodic affine interval exchange transformations of $[0,1]$ with flips having wandering intervals and such that the support of the invariant measure is a Cantor set.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let N be a compact subinterval of either \mathbb{R} or the circle S^1 , and let $f : N \rightarrow N$ be piecewise continuous. We say that a subinterval $J \subset N$ is a *wandering interval* of the map f if the forward iterates $f^n(J)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are pairwise disjoint intervals, each not reduced to a point, and the ω -limit set of J is an infinite set.

A great deal of information about the topological dynamics of a map $f : N \rightarrow N$ is revealed when one knows whether f has wandering intervals. This turns out to be a subtle question whose answer depends on both the topological and regularity properties of the map f .

The question of the existence of wandering intervals first arose when f is a diffeomorphism of the circle S^1 . The Denjoy counterexample shows that even a C^1 diffeomorphism $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ may have wandering intervals. This behaviour is ruled out when f is smoother. More specifically, if f is a C^1 diffeomorphism of the circle such that the logarithm of its derivative has bounded variation then f has no wandering intervals [6]. In this case the topological dynamics of f is simple: if f has no periodic points, then f is topologically conjugate to a rotation.

The first results ensuring the absence of wandering intervals on continuous maps satisfying some smoothness conditions were provided by Guckenheimer [8], Yoccoz [18], and Blokh and Lyubich [2]. Later on, de Melo et al. [13] generalised these results proving that if N is compact and $f : N \rightarrow N$ is a C^2 -map with non-flat critical points then f has no wandering intervals. Concerning discontinuous maps, Berry and Mestel [1] found a condition which excludes wandering intervals in Lorenz maps — interval maps with a single discontinuity. Of course, conservative maps and, in particular, interval exchange transformations, admit no wandering intervals. We consider the following generalisation of interval exchange transformations.

Let $0 \leq a < b$ and let $\{a, b\} \subset D \subset [a, b]$ be a discrete set containing n points. We say that an injective, continuously differentiable map $T : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ defined on $\mathcal{D}(T) = [a, b] \setminus D$ is an *affine interval exchange transformation of n -subintervals*, shortly an *n -AIET*, if $|DT|$ is a positive, locally constant function such that $T([a, b])$ is all of $[a, b]$ except for finitely many points. We also assume that the points in

Date: February 29 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37E05; 37E10 Secondary 37B.

The first author was partially supported by FAPESP Grant 03/03107-9 and by CNPq Grants 470957/2006-9 and 306328/2006-2.

$D \setminus \{a, b\}$ are non-removable discontinuities of T . We say that an AIET is *oriented* if $DT > 0$, otherwise we say that T has *flips*. An *isometric IET of n subintervals*, shortly an n -IET, is an n -AIET satisfying $|DT| = 1$ everywhere.

Levitt [11] found an example of a non-uniquely ergodic oriented AIET with wandering intervals. Therefore there are Denjoy counterexamples of arbitrary smoothness. Gutierrez and Camelier [4] constructed an AIET with wandering intervals that is semiconjugate to a self-similar IET. The regularity of conjugacies between AIETs and self-similar IETs is examined by Cobo [5] and by Liousse and Marzougui [12]. Recently, Bressaud, Hubert and Maass [3] provided sufficient conditions for a self-similar IET to have an AIET with a wandering interval semiconjugate to it.

In this paper we present an example of a self-similar IET with flips having the particular property that we can apply the main result of the work [3] to obtain a 5-AIET with flips semiconjugate to the referred IET and having densely distributed wandering intervals. The AIET so obtained is uniquely ergodic [16] (see [14, 17]) and the support of the invariant measure is a Cantor set.

A few remarks are due in order to place this example in context. The existence of minimal non-uniquely ergodic AIETs with flips and wandering intervals would follow by the same argument of Levitt [11], provided we knew a minimal non-uniquely ergodic IET with flips. However, no example of minimal non-uniquely ergodic IET with flips is known, although it is possible to insert flips in the example of Keane [10] (for oriented IETs) to get a transitive non-uniquely IET with flips having saddle-connections. Computational evaluations indicate that it is impossible to obtain, via Rauzy induction, examples of self-similar 4-IETs with flips meeting the hypotheses of [3], despite this being possible in the case of oriented 4-IETs (see [4, 5]). Thus the example we present here is the simplest possible, in the sense that wandering intervals do not occur for AIETs with flips semiconjugate to a self-similar IET, obtained via Rauzy induction, defined on a smaller number of intervals.

2. SELF-SIMILAR INTERVAL EXCHANGE TRANSFORMATIONS

Let $T : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be an n -AIET defined on $[a, b] \setminus D$, where $D = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ and $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b$. Let $\beta_i \neq 0$ be the derivative of T on (x_{i-1}, x_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We shall refer to

$$x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

as the *D-vector* of T (i.e. the domain-of-definition-vector of T). The vectors

$$\gamma = (\log |\beta_1|, \log |\beta_2|, \dots, \log |\beta_n|) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \left(\frac{\beta_1}{|\beta_1|}, \frac{\beta_2}{|\beta_2|}, \dots, \frac{\beta_n}{|\beta_n|} \right)$$

will be called the *log-slope-vector* and the *flips-vector* of T , respectively. Notice that T has flips if and only if some coordinate of τ is equal to -1 . Let

$$\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} = \left\{ T\left(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}\right), T\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right), \dots, T\left(\frac{x_{n-1} + x_n}{2}\right) \right\}$$

be such that $0 < z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_n < 1$; we define the *permutation π associated to T* as the one that takes $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ to $\pi(i) = j$ if and only if $z_j = T((x_{i-1} + x_i)/2)$.

It should be remarked that an AIET $E : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ with flips-vector $\tau \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ and which has the zero vector as the log-slope-vector is an IET (with flips-vector τ) and conversely. Let $J = [c, d]$ be a proper subinterval of $[a, b]$. We say that the IET E is *self-similar* (on J) if there exists an orientation preserving affine map $L : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L(J) = [a, b]$ and $L \circ \tilde{E} = E \circ L$, where $\tilde{E} : J \rightarrow J$ denotes the IET induced by E and $L(\mathcal{D}(\tilde{E})) \subset \mathcal{D}(E)$. A self-similar IET $E : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ on a proper subinterval $J \subset [a, b]$ will be denoted by (E, J) .

Given an AIET $E : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$, the *orbit* of $p \in [a, b]$ is the set

$$O(p) = \{E^n(p) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } p \in \mathcal{D}(E)\}.$$

The AIET E is called *transitive* if there exists an orbit of E that is dense in $[a, b]$. We say that the orbit of $p \in [a, b]$ is *finite* if $\#(O(p)) < \infty$. In this way, a point $p \in [a, b] - (\mathcal{D}(E) \cup \mathcal{D}(E^{-1}))$ is said to have a finite orbit. A transitive AIET is *minimal* if it has no finite orbits.

Let $E : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be an IET with D-vector (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) . Denote by $J = [c, d]$ a proper subinterval of $[a, b]$. Suppose that E is self-similar (on J); so there exists IET $\tilde{E} : J \rightarrow J$ such that $L(J) = [a, b]$ and $L \circ \tilde{E} = E \circ L$. Given $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, let $y_i = L^{-1}(x_i)$. In this way, the sequence of discontinuities of \tilde{E} is $\{y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$.

We say that a non-negative matrix is *quasi-positive* if some power of it is a positive matrix. A non-negative matrix is quasi-positive if and only if it is both irreducible and aperiodic. Let A be an $n \times n$ non-negative matrix whose entries are:

$$A_{ji} = \#\{0 \leq k \leq N_i : E^k((y_{i-1}, y_i)) \subset (x_{j-1}, x_j)\},$$

where N_i is the least non-negative integer such that for some $y \in (y_{i-1}, y_i)$ (and therefore for all $y \in (y_{i-1}, y_i)$), $E^{N_i+1}(y) \in J$. We shall refer to A as the *matrix associated to* (E, J) . Being self-similar, E is also transitive, which implies the quasi-positivity of A . Hence, by the Perron-Frobenius Theorem [7], A possesses exactly one probability right eigenvector $\alpha \in \Lambda_n$, where

$$\Lambda_n = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mid \lambda_i > 0, \forall i\}.$$

Moreover, the eigenvalue μ corresponding to α is simple, real and greater than 1 and, also, all other eigenvalues of A have absolute value less than μ . It was proved by Veech [16] (see also [14, 17]) that every self-similar IET is minimal and uniquely ergodic. Furthermore, following Rauzy [15], we conclude that

$$\alpha = (x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_{n-1}).$$

3. THE THEOREM OF BRESSAUD, HUBERT AND MAASS

Let $A \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ be the ring of polynomials with rational coefficients in one variable. We say that two real eigenvalues θ_1 and θ_2 of A are *conjugate* if there exists an irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $f(\theta_1) = f(\theta_2) = 0$. We say that an AIET T of $[0, 1]$ is *semiconjugate* (resp. *conjugate*) to an IET E of $[0, 1]$ if there exists a non-decreasing (resp. bijective) continuous map $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $h(\mathcal{D}(T)) \subset \mathcal{D}(E)$ and $E \circ h = h \circ T$.

Theorem 1 (Bressaud, Hubert and Maass, 2007). *Let J be a proper subinterval of $[0, 1]$, $E : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an interval exchange transformation self-similar on*

J and let A be the matrix associated to (E, J) . Let θ_1 be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of A . Assume that A has a real eigenvalue θ_2 such that

- (1) $1 < \theta_2 (< \theta_1)$;
- (2) θ_1 and θ_2 are conjugate.

Then there exists an affine interval exchange transformation T of $[0, 1]$ with wandering intervals that is semiconjugate to E .

Proof. This theorem was proved in [3] for oriented IETs. The same proof holds word for word for IETs with flips. In this case, the AIET T inherits its flips from the IET E through the semiconjugacy previously constructed therein. \square

4. THE INTERVAL EXCHANGE TRANSFORMATION E

In this section we shall present the IET we shall use to construct the AIET with flips and wandering intervals. We shall need the Rauzy induction [15] to obtain a minimal, self-induced IET whose associated matrix satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) \in \Lambda_5$ be the probability (i.e. each $\alpha_i > 0$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 1$) Perron-Frobenius right eigenvector of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues $\theta_1, \theta_2, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3$ of A are real and have approximate values:

$$\theta_1 = 7.829, \theta_2 = 1.588, \rho_1 = 1, \rho_2 = 0.358, \rho_3 = 0.225$$

and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$, the probability right eigenvector associated to θ_1 , has approximate value

$$\alpha = (0.380, 0.091, 0.070, 0.170, 0.289).$$

Notice that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 1$. In what follows we represent a permutation π of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by the n -tuple $\pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n))$.

We consider the iet $E : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is determined by the following conditions:

- (1) E has the D-vector $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$, where

$$x_0 = 0; \quad x_i = \sum_{k=1}^i \alpha_k, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5;$$

- (2) E has associated permutation $(5, 3, 2, 1, 4)$;
- (3) E has flips-vector $(-1, -1, 1, 1, -1)$.

Lemma 2. *The map E is self-similar on the interval $J = [0, 1/\theta_1]$, and A is precisely the matrix associated to (E, J) .*

Proof. We apply the Rauzy algorithm (see [Rau]) to the IET E . We represent $E : I \rightarrow I$ by the pair $E^{(0)} = (\alpha^{(0)}, p^{(0)})$ where $\alpha^{(0)} = \alpha$ is its length vector and $p^{(0)} = (-5, -3, 2, 1, -4)$ is its signed permutation, obtained by elementwise multiplication of its permutation $(5, 3, 2, 1, 4)$ and flips-vector $(-1, -1, 1, 1, -1)$. We shall apply the Rauzy procedure fourteen times, obtaining IETs $E^{(k)} = (\alpha^{(k)}, p^{(k)})$,

$k = 0, \dots, 14$, with D-vector $x^{(k)}$ given by $x_0^{(k)} = 0$; and $x_i^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^i \alpha_j^{(k)}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$.

k	$p^{(k)}$	$t^{(k)}$
0	-5 -3 2 1 -4	1
1	4 -5 -3 2 1	0
2	5 -2 -4 3 1	1
3	5 1 -2 -4 3	1
4	5 3 1 -2 -4	1
5	5 -4 3 1 -2	0
6	-2 -5 4 1 -3	1
7	-2 3 -5 4 1	0
8	-3 4 -2 5 1	1
9	-3 4 -2 5 1	1
10	-3 4 -2 5 1	0
11	-4 5 -3 2 1	1
12	-4 5 1 -3 2	1
13	-4 5 2 1 -3	0
14	-5 -3 2 1 -4	1

TABLE 1. Rauzy cycle with associated matrix A .

Given an IET $E^{(k)}$, defined on an interval $[0, L^{(k)}]$ and represented by the pair $(\alpha^{(k)}, p^{(k)})$, the IET $E^{(k+1)}$ is defined to be the map induced on the interval $[0, L^{(k+1)}]$ by $E^{(k)}$, where $L^{(k+1)} = L^{(k)} - \min\{\alpha_5^{(k)}, \alpha_s^{(k)}\}$ and s is such that $|p_n^{(k)}(s)| = 5$. We say that the type $t^{(k)}$ of $E^{(k)}$ is 0 if $\alpha_5^{(k)} > \alpha_s^{(k)}$ and 1 if $\alpha_5^{(k)} < \alpha_s^{(k)}$. Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^5 \alpha_i^{(k)} = L^{(k)}$.

The new signed permutations $p^{(k)}$, obtained by this procedure are given in Table 1, along with the type $t^{(k)}$ of $E^{(k)}$. The length vector $\alpha^{(k+1)}$ is obtained from $\alpha^{(k)}$ by the equation $\alpha^{(k)} = M(p^{(k)}, t^{(k)}) \cdot \alpha^{(k+1)}$, where $M(p^{(k)}, t^{(k)}) \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is a certain elementary matrix (see [9]). Moreover, we have that

$$M(p^{(0)}, t^{(0)}) \cdots M(p^{(13)}, t^{(13)}) = A.$$

Thus $\alpha^{(14)} = A^{-1} \cdot \alpha^{(0)} = \alpha^{(0)} / \theta_1$, and $J = [0, L^{(14)}]$. Notice that $p^{(14)} = p^{(0)}$, and so we have a Rauzy cycle: $R^{(14)}$ and $R^{(0)}$ have the same flips-vector and permutation. Hence $\tilde{E} = E^{(14)}$ is a $1/\theta_1$ -scaled copy of $E = E^{(0)}$, and so E is self-similar on the interval J .

As remarked before, since E self-similar, we have that the matrix associated to (E, J) is quasi-positive. In fact, we have that A is the matrix associated to (E, J) . To see that, for $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$, let $y_i = x_i / \theta_1$ be the points of discontinuity for \tilde{E} . Table 2 shows the itinerary $I(i) = \{I(i)_k\}_{k=1}^{N_i}$ of each interval (y_{i-1}, y_i) , where $N_i = \min\{n > 1 : E^{n+1}((y_{i-1}, y_i)) \subset J\}$ and $I(i)_k = r$ if and only if $E^k((y_{i-1}, y_i)) \subset (x_{r-1}, x_r)$.

The number of times that j occurs in $I(i)$, for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$, is precisely A_{ji} and thus A is the matrix associated to the pair (E, J) as required. \square

Theorem A. *There exists a uniquely ergodic affine interval exchange transformation of $[0, 1]$ with flips having wandering intervals and such that the support of the invariant measure is a Cantor set.*

i	N_i	$I(i)$
1	4	1 5 1 4
2	11	1 5 2 1 4 1 5 2 1 5 4
3	17	1 5 2 1 4 1 5 3 1 5 3 1 5 3 1 5 4
4	14	1 5 2 1 4 1 5 3 1 5 3 1 5 4
5	6	1 5 2 1 5 4

TABLE 2. Itineraries $I(i)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$.

Proof. By construction, the matrix A associated to (E, J) satisfies hypothesis (1) of Theorem 1. The characteristic polynomial $p(t)$ of A can be written as the product of two irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}[t]$:

$$p(t) = (1 - t)(1 - 8t + 18t^2 - 10t^3 + t^4).$$

Thus the eigenvalues θ_1 and θ_2 are zeros of the same irreducible polynomial of degree four and so are conjugate. Hence, A also verifies hypothesis (2) of Theorem 1, which finishes the proof. \square

Note that for an AIET T , the forward and backward iterates of a wandering interval J form a pairwise disjoint collection of intervals. Moreover, when T is semiconjugate to a transitive IET, as is the case in Theorem A, the α -limit set and ω -limit set of J coincide.

REFERENCES

1. D. Berry & B. D. Mestel. Wandering intervals for Lorenz maps with bounded nonlinearity. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **23** (1991), 183–189.
2. A. M. Blokh & M. Yu. Lyubich. Non-existence of wandering intervals and structure of topological attractors of one dimensional dynamical systems II. The smooth case. *Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys.* **9** (1989), 751–758.
3. X. Bressaud, P. Hubert & A. Maass. Persistence of wandering intervals in self-similar affine interval exchange transformations. Preprint, arXiv:math.DS/08012088 (2007).
4. R. Camelier & C. Gutierrez. Affine interval exchange transformations with wandering intervals. *Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys.* **17** (1997), no. 6, 1315–1338.
5. M. Cobo. Piece-wise affine maps conjugate to interval exchanges. *Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys.* **22** (2002), no. 2, 375–407.
6. A. Denjoy. Sur le courbes definies par les equations differentielles a la surface du tore. *J. Math. Pure et Appl.* **11** (1932), série 9, 333–375.
7. F. R. Gantmacher. *Applications of the Theory of Matrices*. Interscience, New York (1959).
8. J. Guckenheimer. Sensitive dependence on initial conditions for one dimensional maps. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **70** (1979) 133–160.
9. C. Gutierrez, S. Lloyd, V. Medvedev, B. Pires & E. Zhuzhoma. On circle and interval exchange transformations with flips. Preprint, arXiv:math.DS/07113821 (2007).
10. M. Keane. Non-ergodic interval exchange transformations. *Israel J. Math.* **26** (1977), no. 2, 188–196.
11. G. Levitt. La décomposition dynamique et la différentiabilité des feuilletages des surfaces'. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **37** (1987), 85–116.
12. I. Liousse & H. Marzougui. Échanges d'intervalles affines conjugués à des linéaires. *Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys.* **22** (2002), no. 2, 535–554.
13. M. Martens, W. de Melo & S. van Strien. Julia–Fatou–Sullivan theory for real one-dimensional dynamics. *Acta Math.* **168** (1992), 273–318.
14. H. Masur. Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations. *Ann. Math.* **115** (1982), 169–200.
15. G. Rauzy. Échanges d'intervalles et transformations induites. *Acta Arith.* (1979) 315–328.
16. W. Veech. Interval exchange transformations. *J. d'Analyse Math.* **33** (1978), 222–272.

17. W. Veech. Gauss measures for transformations on the space of interval exchange maps. *Ann. Math.* **115** (1982), 201–242.
18. Y. C. Yoccoz. Il n’y a pas de contre-exemple de Denjoy analytique. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **298** (1984), série I, 141–144.

INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, SÃO CARLOS - SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: `gutp@icmc.usp.br`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `s.lloyd@unsw.edu.au`

DEPARTAMENTO DE FÍSICA E MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE FILOSOFIA, CIÊNCIAS E LETRAS DA UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, RIBEIRÃO PRETO - SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: `benito@ffclrp.usp.br`